

A sufficient condition for global invertibility of Lipschitz mapping

Sergey P. Tarasov *
Computing center of RAS
Vavilova 40
117967 Moscow GSP-1, Russia.
e-mail: `sergey@ccas.ru`

Abstract

We show that S.Vavasis' sufficient condition for global invertibility of a polynomial mapping can be easily generalized to the case of a general Lipschitz mapping.

Keywords: Invertibility conditions, generalized Jacobian, nonsmooth analysis.

In applications, in particular in finite-element analysis it is useful to have some sufficient conditions for testing invertibility or injectivity of a mapping $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Usually $f(\cdot)$ is defined on some simple region I , say cube or simplex, in the reference domain. The image $f(I)$ is some region with more complicated geometry (a grid cell) in the physical domain.

In a paper [1] S.Vavasis proposed a sufficient condition for global invertibility of a polynomial mapping in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Here we show that this condition can be more naturally formulated in the framework of *nonsmooth analysis* (see, e.g. [2]), and this enables to spread the results of [1] and translate them almost word-for-word into a seemingly much more general setting (at least in the linguistic sense). Thus this note may be regarded as a feedback to [1].

We need several definitions. The standard reference on nonsmooth analysis is [2]. Our discussion is restricted to a finite-dimensional case though an essential part of [2] is devoted to the infinite-dimensional generalizations.

Recall that nonsmooth analysis works with Lipschitz functions that are almost everywhere differentiable. Roughly speaking, the *generalized (or Clarke's) gradient* ∂F of a Lipschitz function $f(\cdot) : R^n \rightarrow R$ at the point $x \in R^n$ is defined as a convex hull of (almost) all converging sequences of the gradients: $\partial f(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \text{conv}(\lim \nabla(f(x_i)))$, for $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $f(\cdot)$ is differentiable at points x_i and the sequence $\nabla f(x_i)$ converges. It is essential that at the points of smoothness of $f(\cdot)$ the generalized gradient coincides with gradient, and for a convex function— with its subgradient.

Similarly ¹, the *generalized Jacobian* ∂F of a Lipschitz mapping $F(\cdot) : R^n \rightarrow R^m$ at the point x is a convex hull of all $m \times n$ matrices obtained as limits of sequences $JF(x_i)$ (its Jacobian matrix at the point of smoothness x_i), where $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $F(\cdot)$ is differentiable at x_i .

*Supported in part by RFBR grant 02-01-00716

¹Actually, Clarke's definitions of the generalized gradient and the generalized Jacobian are somewhat less restrictive. We may assume that the points of the sequences x_i or $JF(x_i)$ involved do not belong not only to nonsmooth point of the map but additionally *they do not belong to an arbitrary set of Lebesgue measure zero*. Such definitions are technically more convenient.

The generalized Jacobian *has maximum rank at point* x_0 if each matrix from $\partial F(x_0)$ has maximum rank.

Nonsmooth inverse function theorem [2, Th.7.1.1] states that Lipschitz mapping $F : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, whose generalized Jacobian has maximum rank at point x_0 is locally Lipschitz invertible.

In [1] S.Vavasis proposed a sufficient condition for the nondegeneracy of a matrix family $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of square $n \times n$ matrices. To present this result in a slightly more general form we need some (more or less standard) definitions.

A cone $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a set with a property that for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and for any $\lambda \geq 0$, $\lambda x \in \mathcal{K}$, i.e. $\mathcal{K}$ contains all intersecting rays through the origin.

A cone $\mathcal{K}$ is *convex* if sum of any points of $\mathcal{K}$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}$.

A convex cone is *polyhedral* if it can be represented in the form: $\mathcal{K} = \{x \mid x = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_i, \lambda_i \geq 0, a_i \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$.

A convex cone $\mathcal{K}$ is *acute* if it contains no nontrivial subspaces or equivalently, if no finite set of elements of $\mathcal{K}$ sums to zero.

If $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}^N$ then *cone hull*(A) is a union of all rays through the origin intersecting A .

Let formulate *Vavasis' condition*. Denote by $R_i \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ the set of all i -th columns of the matrices belonging to $\mathcal{M}$ and assume that all R_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are separated from the origin, i.e. for some $\delta > 0$ $R_i \cap \{x \mid \|x\| \leq \delta\} = \emptyset$ (here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm). Set $K_i = \text{cone hull}(R_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let any cone out of the 2^{n-1} cones $K_1 \pm K_2 \pm \dots \pm K_n$ be *acute*.

By definition, *V-family* is any matrix family satisfying these conditions. It follows from the above that all matrices in *V-family* are nondegenerate.

If *additionally*, any cone involved $K_1 \pm K_2 \pm \dots \pm K_n$ is contained in a cone $K_a = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid ax \geq \varepsilon \|x\|\}$ for some *certificate vector* $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then such family is defined as *strict V-family*. In particular, if all K_i (or R_i), $i = 1, \dots, n$ are polyhedral then by Farkas lemma all *V-families* are strict. Moreover, checking that some matrix family is *V-family* is reduced to solving 2^{n-1} linear programs, and thus the overall test could be performed in linear time with respect to the input in any fixed dimension.

Theorem 1 *Let $F(\cdot) = (f^1(\cdot), \dots, f^n(\cdot)) : U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be any Lipschitz mapping defined on the convex reference domain U . If the set of the generalized Jacobians $\mathcal{J} = \{J \in \partial F(u), u \in U\}$ forms a strict *V-family* then $F(\cdot)$ is globally invertible on U .*

Proof. Actually the demonstration is a direct translation into the new setting of the original proof from [1].

At first, local invertibility of $F(\cdot)$ at any point $u \in U$ of the reference domain follows from the nonsmooth inverse function theorem as the generalized Jacobian $\partial F(u)$ has maximum rank at any point by construction.

Secondly, to show global invertibility it is enough to check injectivity of the mapping. Take any different points $u, v \in U$ from the reference domain. By convexity of U and by the nonsmooth analog of the Lagrange formula [2, Th.2.6.5] for almost all pairs $u, v \in U$ the following equality holds: $F(v) - F(u) = \int_0^1 JF(u + t(v - u))(v - u)dt$. Assume w.l.o.g. that the first coordinate of the vector $v - u$ is nonnegative (otherwise, exchange v and u). Set $K_i = \text{cone hull}(\partial f^i(x), x \in U)$. Now assume for a moment that $v - u \geq 0$. Take the corresponding certificate vector a for the cone $K_1 + \dots + K_n$. By construction, for all $s \in U$, $(a, \partial f^i(s)) > \varepsilon \delta$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $(a, F(v) - F(u)) > \varepsilon \delta \|u - v\| > 0$ and the injectivity follows. In general case, we take any certificate vector for the cone $K_1 \pm K_2 \pm \dots \pm K_n$ with the same sign pattern as the sign pattern of the coordinates of the vector $v - u$.

Informally, Vavasis sufficiency condition assumes that the columns of the corresponding Jacobian matrices are independent and thus may be extremely restrictive but, on the other hand, it has attractive enough *decomposition feature*. Namely, let the reference domain I be subdivided into several simple regions, say, I is a cube that is partitioned into parallelepipedal patches $I = \cup_{i=1}^M I^i$ by several axis-parallel hyperplanes. Now let $F(\cdot) = (f^1(\cdot), \dots, f^n(\cdot)) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be any Lipschitz mapping. Let $\Delta_i = \cup_{x \in I_i} JF(x)$ (the union of all generalized Jacobians in the *closure* of the patch I_i). Obviously these sets can be computed *separately* for any *closed* patch I_i $i = 1, \dots, M$. As above we obtain the following sufficient condition: if each of the sets Δ_i , $i = 1, \dots, M$ forms a strict V -family and there exists a certificate vector *common to all* Δ_i then the mapping $F(\cdot)$ is globally invertible. Equivalently, as Vavasis condition is insensible to taking convex hulls, $F(\cdot)$ is globally invertible on I if the set $\Delta = \text{conv}(\cup_{i=1}^M \Delta_i)$ forms a strict V -family.

For a simple example, assume that $F(\cdot)$ is a continuous piecewise polynomial (product) Bernstein-Bezier (BB) mapping as proposed in [1]², i.e. in any patch I_q , $q = 1, \dots, M$ the mapping is given by

$$F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{i_1=0}^p \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^p f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^q \binom{p}{i_1} \xi_1^{i_1} (1 - \xi_1)^{p-i_1} \dots \binom{p}{i_n} \xi_n^{i_n} (1 - \xi_n)^{p-i_n},$$

where $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ are local coordinates in $I_q = \{0 \leq \xi_1 \leq 1, \dots, 0 \leq \xi_n \leq 1\}$ and the vectors $f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^q \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $i_1, \dots, i_n = 1, \dots, n$ are called the *control points*. It is well known that the image $F(I_q)$ of BB mapping is contained in the convex hull of the control points. It is also well known that the partial derivatives of $F(\cdot)$ can be put into BB form (and the resulting control points for the derivatives are effectively computable linear combinations of the control points for $F(\cdot)$). Thus for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ the set of all i -th columns of the Jacobian matrices $JF(I_q) = \{JF(x), x \in I_q\}$ is contained in some *explicitly computable polytope* P_i^q . Hence, all possible i -th columns of the Jacobian matrices $JF(x)$, $x \in I$ are contained in $P_i = \text{conv}\{P_i^1, \dots, P_i^M\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. (Here we use the continuity of $F(\cdot)$ as at any point belonging to the intersection of some patches $x \in I_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap I_{i_k}$ the generalized Jacobian $JF(x)$ is by construction contained in $\text{conv}\{JF(I_{i_1}, \dots, JF(I_{i_k})\}$.) Thus if the matrix family $\mathcal{P}$, whose i -th column belongs to P_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, form a strict V -family then $F(\cdot)$ is globally invertible on the whole domain I .

Here we show that invertibility test in [1] is valid for a much more ample class of mappings and thus should be *simultaneously robust and restrictive* enough. Therefore it would be nice if some new arguments would be applied to the invertibility problem even in the simplest case of bilinear polynomials.

References

- [1] S.Vavasis. A Bernstein-Bezier sufficient condition for invertibility of polynomial mapping function, <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~vavasis/>
- [2] F.H.Clarke. Optimization and nonsmooth analysis, NY, John Wiley & Sons, 1983.
- [3] M.Vyalyi, E.Gordeev, and S.Tarasov. The stability of the Voronoi diagram. Comp.Maths Math.Phys., 1996, **36**, 3, 405-414.

²The reader should take into account that the example below is almost explicit in [1] and could be easily recovered from the arguments therein *but with some additional formal arguments*.